

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/825,498	04/15/2004	Eleni Karayianni	RD8400USNA	8558	
43693	7590 07/12/2005		EXAMINER		
INVISTA NORTH AMERICA S.A.R.L.			PIZIALI, ANDREW T		
THREE LITT	LE FALLS CENTRE/10	052			
2801 CENTER	RVILLE ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WILMINGTO	N, DE 19808		1771		

DATE MAILED: 07/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			μ			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Occurrence	10/825,498	KARAYIANNI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Andrew T. Piziali	1771				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15	April 2004.					
	nis action is non-final.					
· <u>=</u>						
closed in accordance with the practice under	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-40 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers			-			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the lateral contents.	ccepted or b) objected to ne drawing(s) be held in abeya ection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Art Unit: 1771

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-22 and 39-40, drawn to an electrically conductive elastic composite yarn and/or fabric, classified in class 442, subclass 182.
 - II. Claims 23-26, drawn to a method for forming an electrically conductive elastic composite yarn comprising placement of a conductive covering filament substantially parallel to the elastic member, classified in class 57, subclass 9.
 - III. Claims 27-34, drawn to a method for forming an electrically conductive elastic composite yarn comprising twisting or wrapping a conductive covering filament with the elastic member, classified in class 57, subclass 3.
 - IV. Claims 35-38, drawn to a method for forming an electrically conductive elastic composite yarn comprising covering an elastic member with a conductive covering by forwarding the elastic member through an air jet, classified in class 57, subclass 7.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions of Group II and Group I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process. The product can be made by the method of Group III or IV.

Art Unit: 1771

3. Inventions of Group III and Group I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process. The product can be made by the method of Group II or IV.

Page 3

- 4. Inventions of Group IV and Group I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process. The product can be made by the method of Group II or III.
- 5. Inventions of Groups II, III, and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different methods are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation.
- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. In the event that claims directed to the product are elected, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include

Art Unit: 1771

all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined. Therefore, upon the election of Group I, rejoinder will be considered upon indication of allowable subject matter pursuant to MPEP 821.04.

8. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species Group I

Species I Drawn to a composite yarn wherein the at least one conductive covering filament is a metallic wire (see claims 3 and 4).

Species II Drawn to a composite yarn wherein the at least one conductive covering filament is a non-conductive inelastic synthetic polymer yarn having a metallic wire thereon (see claim 8).

Species Group II

Species I Drawn to a composite yarn wherein the second conductive covering filament is a metallic wire (see claim 12).

Species II Drawn to a composite yarn wherein the second conductive covering filament comprises a non-conductive inelastic synthetic polymer yarn having a metallic wire thereon (see claim 13).

9. Upon the election of Group I (drawn to claims 1-22 and 39-40), the applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, from each of Species Groups I and II, for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-2, 5-7, 9-11, 14-22 and 39-40 appear to be generic.

Art Unit: 1771

10. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the

species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable

Page 5

thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that

all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

11. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of

claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the

limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP §

809.02(a).

12. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct,

applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to

be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission

may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

13. A telephone call was made to Charles Krukiel on 6/13/2005 to request an oral election to

the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

14. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR

1.143).

15. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

Art Unit: 1771

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR

Page 6

1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Andrew T. Piziali whose telephone number is (571) 272-1541.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on (571) 272-1478. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

atp

576. 11-105

PATENT EXAMINER